

# Red Chameleons

Most Americans probably are not aware that communists often undergo a peculiar kind of metamorphosis. At times certain communists are held to be "good" while certain other communists are held to be "bad." At other times their roles are completely reversed. Certain other communists are presented as not being communist at all. Knocking this image of communism back and forth to fit the occasion has been one of the Insiders' most effective ways to control the public's perception of their international machinations.

The Insider treatment of the Soviet Union shows this technique at its loathsome best. When Hitler and Stalin carved up Poland between them in 1939, communism was held to be thoroughly reprehensible. Stalin was accurately depicted as a bloody tyrant who had exterminated millions of his own countrymen, while American communists were rightfully condemned for their bold support of Hitler. Some idealistic, albeit naïve, American communists even bolted the Party upon hearing the shocking news of the Hitler-Stalin pact. Others, of course, fully recognized that Nazism and communism are ideological cousins and desired the very totalitarianism that both Hitler and Stalin ruthlessly imposed.

## Our "Ally" Stalin

But then one of these arch-criminals turned on the other. Overnight, Stalin became "good ol' Uncle Joe," a bosom buddy who must be rescued with billions of dollars in U.S. aid. The beleaguered American communists suddenly became "just like us" and were even welcomed into high-level government posts and bureaus. By the time the slaughter of World War II had ended, Uncle Joe emerged not only as a winner, but as a world leader.

The benign image of Stalin served its purpose well. It made possible the acceptance by the American public of the transference of enormous amounts of our wealth, technology, and food to the Soviet Union. It also misled Americans into not recognizing the treasonous course of the war as the secret Yalta agreements began to unfold. Under those agreements, the

Soviet Union was ceded chunks of Poland and granted control over Manchuria, and millions of Russian nationals who had fled their communist-controlled homeland were forcibly repatriated. Yalta set the stage for the enslavement of nearly a billion human beings in Europe and Asia.

But alas, the euphoria about our noble ally Stalin was soon in tatters. Before Americans could properly refocus their minds, Winston Churchill had invaded our heartland and our media with dire eloquence about an "Iron Curtain"; the Cold War was on with the suddenly bad Soviets. In fact, they were so bad that Americans were held hostage for decades to the fear of nuclear holocaust, which served the Insiders well as a pretext for world government.

Of course, the frightful appearance of the Soviet Union at times served as a pretext for showering Western assistance on other communist countries, such as Red China, which had supposedly broken with the Soviets. So it was that in 1984 the arch-anti-communist President Ronald Reagan referred to China as a "so-called communist" country.

## A Faked Death

Then a dramatic change took place that shocked the world. After decades of global saber rattling, communist thugs who had been responsible for the murder of millions suddenly became "democrats" eager to curtail their own tyrannical powers and lead their people out of bondage. The Berlin Wall came down and the Iron Curtain was lifted. Without a shot being fired, the "Evil Empire" ceased to exist. The ghastly menace that had held us in thrall for 45 years and cost us a tremendous fortune to defend against had apparently vanished into thin air. Instead of Lenin or Stalin, we now had Gorbachev the Good followed by Yeltsin the Better, "former" communists who have embraced "openness" and "reform" and who have become just like us. The fact that Gorba-



**Dismantling of Berlin Wall signaled the beginning of phony Soviet liberalization.**

chev and Yeltsin behaved very much like the communists of old in their rapes of Afghanistan and Chechnya has been glossed over by the establishment opinion cartel.

If the velvet revolutions and political conversions leading to the demise of communism were genuine, one would have expected an intensive "de-communization" program at the very least, if not special trials to bring to justice still-living communist thugs responsible for monstrous crimes against humanity — such as was done in Germany following the defeat of Hitler. But no such steps were undertaken in post-Soviet Russia — just the opposite, in fact. Not only were the hardline communists who led the failed coup against Gorbachev not sent to the gulag or the gallows, they were released. How could this be — unless, of course, the "demise of communism" was less than genuine?

It is much less than genuine according to Anatoliy Golitsyn, arguably the West's most valuable Soviet defector. Golitsyn, an ex-KGB staff officer who specialized in counterintelligence, argues that the "liberalization" of the Soviet Union and





De Koorh/SYGMA



Ivo Lorenc/SYGMA

“Former communist” Mikhail Gorbachev and Russian “reformer” Boris Yeltsin.

Eastern Europe is nothing more than a KGB disinformation ploy designed to beguile the West into supporting communist objectives, including Western assistance and eventual merger.

Significantly, Golitsyn identified this strategy long before the “demise of communism.” In his 1984 book *New Lies for Old* (published five years before the Berlin Wall came down), Golitsyn made a number of startling predictions:

- “[T]he communist strategists are equipped, in pursuing their policy, to engage in maneuvers and stratagems beyond the imagination of Marx or the practical reach of Lenin and unthinkable to Stalin. Among such previously unthinkable stratagems are the introduction of false liberalization in Eastern Europe and, probably, in the Soviet Union and the exhibition of spurious independence on the part of the regimes in Romania, Czechoslovakia, and Poland.”

- “If ‘liberalization’ is successful and accepted by the West as genuine, it may well be followed by the apparent withdrawal of one or more communist countries from the Warsaw Pact to serve as the model of a ‘neutral’ socialist state for the whole of Europe to follow.”

- “The ‘liberalization’ [in the Soviet Union] would be spectacular and impressive. Formal pronouncements might be made about a reduction in the communist party’s role; its monopoly would be apparently curtailed. An ostensible separation of powers between the legislative, the executive, and the judiciary might be introduced.”

- “If [liberalization] should be extended

to East Germany, demolition of the Berlin Wall might even be contemplated.”

- “Pressure could well grow for a solution of the German problem in which some form of confederation between East and West Germany would be combined with neutralization of the whole and a treaty of friendship with the Soviet Union.”

- “The European Parliament might become an all-European socialist parliament with representation from the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe. ‘Europe from the Atlantic to the Urals’ would turn out to be a neutral, socialist Europe.”

In his more recent book *The Perestroika Deception* (1995), Golitsyn argued that “the current Russian leaders are following the strategy of their predecessors and working toward a ‘New World Order.’” That new world order, he made clear, would be a one-world communist government.\*

### Insider Strategy

Golitsyn views the stratagem behind the “demise of communism” as originating from the communist KGB, not the Western power elite. But there is no denying the fact that both cliques benefit from the planned merger. The assistance the West has provided communism over many decades (see page 35) makes perfect sense to anyone who understands that socialism is a “control the wealth” program, not a “share the wealth” program, and that some of the world’s super-capitalists — perhaps even more so than their communist “partners” — seek the concentration of power on a global scale with themselves at the helm. In our own view, the fact that the purse strings run from West to East pro-

vides a good indication of which clique is the senior in this partnership.

Looking at the fall of communism from the perspective of the Western non-communist elite, the purpose behind this latest act is not hard to fathom. How could the Insiders’ world merger possibly proceed with a regime as horrible and powerful as the Soviet Union? CFR academic Lincoln P. Bloomfield acknowledged this very problem in his 1962 State Department-funded report, *A World Effectively Controlled by the United Nations*. “[G]iven a continuation unabated of communist dynamism,” he said, “the subordination of states to a true world government appears impossible....” Bloomfield saw this as a paradox, since “if the communist dynamic were greatly abated, the West might well lose whatever incentive it has for world government.” But other pretexts for world government have been employed (see page 47), and with the elimination of the “Evil Empire” the merger can proceed unabated.

Recently, in spite of massive aid from the West, “former” Communist Party apparatchik Boris Yeltsin has behaved very much like a dictator in his crackdown on political opponents and in his crushing of Chechen rebels. The Associated Press reported in June 1996 that “most of the 15 former Soviet republics are today dominated by communists or their renamed political heirs.” And according to *Washington Times* columnist Richard Grenier (CFR), the “KGB lives on” — not only in Russia, but in “the newly independent states bordering Russia” that inherited the dreaded police state apparatus. The name may have changed, the players may have been shuffled, a measure of freedom may be tolerated, but an overt Stalinist dictatorship could re-emerge whenever it suits Insider/communist purposes.

The good communist/bad communist/former communist charade has served the Insiders well, enabling them to justify accommodation, assistance, and convergence that otherwise would not be tolerated. The bottom line is that the Insiders have long been working in concert with the top communists — for their objectives are the same: Wealth, power, and world merger. ■

— JANE H. INGRAHAM & GARY BENOIT

\* To order Golitsyn’s books, see the inside front cover. For our comprehensive examination and exposé of the deadly deception concerning “the collapse of communism,” see the September 18, 1995 special issue of THE NEW AMERICAN, advertised on page 62.